



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

reasonable classification. Neither can the validity of the tax be attacked on the ground that the motive in passing the act was to deprive the railroads of their advantage of exemption from *ad valorem* taxation. Also, that a company's charter provides "that its capital stock, the dividends thereon, and the road and fixtures, depots, etc., shall be forever exempt from taxation, provided the stock or dividends, when the dividends exceed legal interest, may be subject to taxation in common with money at interest, but no tax shall be imposed so as to reduce dividends below legal interest," does not exempt such company from privilege taxation.

Taxation—Railroad Machine Shop.—Western N. Y. & Pa. R. Co. v. Venango County, 38 Atl. Rep. (Pa. 1088). *Held*, in an action to enjoin the collection of taxes, that a machine shop belonging to a railroad company, and used exclusively for repairs in its own business, is not subject to local taxation. Case of *East Pa. R. Co.*, 1 Wash. (Pa.) 428, distinguished. The test is whether the property under discussion is used exclusively in the distinct and direct furtherance of the object for which the charter of the company was granted.

Inheritance Tax—Validity—Estates Previously Probated.—Gels-thorpe, County Treasurer, v. Furnell, et al., 51 Pac. Rep. (Mont.) 267. An inheritance tax is not void in so far as it applies to estates probated, but not distributed until after it comes into effect. Although the privileges and rights of heirs and legatees to take and receive shares of the property of a decedent are vested immediately upon the death of the testator or intestate (see Sec. 1794, Civ. Code); yet the term "vested rights" should not be set up as "a shield of protection" against all considerations designed by the legislature to promote the general welfare, or establish an advanced public policy for the State. *Cooley*, Const. Lim., p. 437. The right, moreover, is subject to the control of the courts for distribution and is dependent upon the laws for its protection. See *Carpenter v. Com.*, 17 How. 456; *Succession of Oyer*, 6 Rob. (La.) 504; *Succession of Deyraud*, 9 Rob. (La.) 357.

TRADE-MARKS.

Trade-Mark—Geographical Name—Unfair Competition.—Gage-Downs Co. v. Featherbone Corset Co., 83 Fed. Rep. 813. A Chicago manufacturer, who for years has made corset waists and sold them as "Chicago Waists," until the goods under such name have become known to the trade as the goods made by him, may enjoin another in a different city and State from selling his goods as "Chicago Waists." The appropriation of the name of the place where goods are manufactured is not often protected, but where by the use of the name it has acquired a secondary signification, as a mark denoting the manufacturer rather than the place where the goods are made, and it is the manifest intent of the adverse user to derive advantage from the reputation the goods thus branded have attained in the market, it will be enjoined.

Trade Marks and Trade Names—Labels of Laborers' Union.—Hetterman et al., v. Powers et al., 43 S. W. Rep. (Ky.) 180. That the members of certain voluntary trade unions of cigar makers are not strictly "in business" for themselves, but are employed for wages in producing cigars to which they have attached labels indicating such cigars to be the exclusive product of their labor, is no defense to an action brought to restrain others from using these labels. There is nothing objectionable in such labels, as denouncing other